

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/863,594	05/23/2001	Jorg Rheims	VOI0189.US 9308		
7590 08/17/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Todd T. Taylor			HUG, ERIC J		
TAYLOR & AUST. P.C. 142 S. Main St.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. Box 560			1731		
Avilla, IN 46710			DATE MAILED: 08/17/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/863,594	RHEIMS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Eric Hug	1731				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 A</u>	<u>ugust 2005</u> .					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11 and 13-19</u> is/are pending in the	application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11 and 13-19</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 23 May 2001 is/are: a)		by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Burear * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application it y documents have been received in PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  S. Patent and Trademark Office TOI -326 (Rev. 1-04)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Ā

in

Application/Control Number: 09/863,594

Art Unit: 1731

## Response to Amendment

The following is in response to the amendment filed on August 3, 2005.

In view of the arguments filed on August 3, 2005, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-11 and 13-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Klungness et al (US 5,223,090) in view of Wu et al (US 6,074,524) and LaRiviere (US 6,077,396).

Klungness discloses the steps of providing a fiber stock suspension between pH of 10-13, adding at least one additive to the fiber suspension, and treating the fiber suspension and additive in a refiner, thereby yielding calcium carbonate on the fiber surfaces. The fibers may be mechanically treated by refining or beating prior to chemical treatment (column 6, lines 1-7). Column 7, lines 16-41 discusses the use of a pressurized refiner. This process differs from that of the present invention in that a refiner or beater is used rather than a fluffer.

Wu discloses fluff pulp products that are obtained by defiberizing pulp under low energy conditions which provides the pulp fibers with high surface area. The fibers are not refined or are only lightly refined. The fibers advantageously allows for attachment of filler particles to the fibrous surfaces. Wu teaches that the low-energy defiberized pulps are preferable over highly

Art Unit: 1731

refined pulps in producing fluff pulps. If Wu does not sufficiently disclose a "fluffer" per se for defiberizing the pulps, it would be obvious to one skilled in the art that the low-shear device used by Wu is equivalently a fluffer. The defiberization energy requirement of Wu is less than 90 kJ/kg (column 15, lines 15-17). This reads on the claimed energy requirement as shown below:

1000 kJ = 0.277 kWh

1000 kg = 1.1 ton (assuming 'ton' is an English ton, rather than a metric ton).

90 kJ/kg = 90 kJ/kg \* (0.277 kWh/1000kJ) \* (1000 kg/1.1 t) = 22.72 kWh/t, which falls between the claimed 5-200 kWh/t.

LaRiviere is cited here to further exemplify the known use of fluffers to defiberize pulp materials for the purpose of providing greater surface area available for chemical treatment without comminuting the pulp. The minimum energy requirement of LaRiviere is 0.4 HP/TPD (horsepower/tons per day) (column 6, line 58). This reads on the claimed energy requirement as shown below:

1 HPH (horsepower-hour) = 0.746 kWh

1 day = 24 hours

0.4 HP/TPD = 0.4 HP-day/ton \* (24 hr/day) \* (0.746 kWh/HPH) = 7.16 kWh/t, which clearly overlaps the claimed range of 5-200 kWh/t.

Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to replace the refiner of Klungness with a fluffer, as disclosed by Wu and LaRiviere, to defiberize the pulp at low energy, thereby increasing available surface area for chemical treatment without adversely affecting pulp quality. Note that in column 7, lines 57-61 of Klungness, it is disclosed that better filler retention is possible by using a low-shear container

Art Unit: 1731

rather than a refiner. Thus, the teachings of Wu and LaRiviere do not teach against those of Klungness.

All other claimed features are disclosed by Klungness or are obvious known modifications thereof.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's argument filed August 3, 2005 have been fully considered.

The amendments to the claims have overcome the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, set forth previously.

The rejections under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) based on Green (US 4,510,020) have been withdrawn. It is recognized that Green teaches a different process for loading pulp with filler which emphasizes the refining and cutting of fibers.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric Hug whose telephone number is 571 272-1192. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 10:00 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on 571 272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

